The 3rd set of Q&A as of 9.03.2010

Q1. On which language should be written the system documentation?
A: Romanian

Q2. On which language should be hold the "staff training" activity?
A:Romanian

Q3. It is hard to read the text messages on the figures. Is it possible to have figures having better resolution?
A: Please, reload the document.

Q4. Regarding working practices, how much of the time is expected from the implementing company's staff to spend in your offices? What is the expectation, where should be performed the implementation work?
A: This time will depend on the implementation technology of the company

Q5.a Is it acceptable to realise a centralised architecture and is it possible for the branch offices to access the data remotely?
A5.a. Yes. It is possible to realize a centralised architecture and it is possible for the branch offices to access the data remotely

Q5.b How the branch offices access the central server through public or private network?
A5.b The branch offices access the central server through private network

Q6. The proposal references the deletion of data in several places. Are there some legal constraints prescribed by state regulation regarding the data handled by the system which should be taken into account?
A: The system must keep information collected to be used for subsequent statistical research

Q7.a For the scalability of the system would be good to have information on the number of users using the system on the same time. In order to approximate this number would be good to have information on the available regulation on the deadlines when the users have to provide statistics. Are there some information available on that issues?
A7.a   Monthly, until the date 12 – 15 of the next month
            Quarterly, until the 25th of next quarter
            Yearly, until the March 31
            for each statistical survey the number of respondents is predefined

Q7.b Above numbers could help us to manage the scalability of the Forms application. But for the overall scalability of the portal to avoid performance problems would be better to now the approximate number of users and their usage manners. Could you provide us some hints on that numbers?
A7.b ~ 50 thousands users

Q8. The section 9(c) of RFP states: "It is mandatory that the Offeror's Proposal numbering system corresponds with the numbering   system used in the body of this RFP.". However, it's hard to follow this requirement because:
        1. The structure of RFP is not suited for describing the proposed solution (it misses at least the section with architecture and design)

2. Some sections of RFP couldn't be used for describing the proposed solution, such as: Legal references (2), Business model of automation (5) etc. Should we strictly follow the numbering system requirement or should use our own numbering scheme, that reflects proposed solution and compliance with requirements?

A8: It's possible to use own numbering scheme for proposal. The document should be well structured and address system requirements.